

REMARKS.

1. Applicants submitted a response to the outstanding Office Action on July 17, 2008. In the filed response, Applicants amended the claims to recite a “method of forming an antenna.” However, the Examiner objected to this amendment and has considered the filed response as Non-Compliant because the Examiner believed that the amendments alter the scope of the claims. (*See*, Notice of Non-Complaint, pg. 4.) The Examiner asserts that the claims encompass a “method of forming and connecting an antenna,” as originally claimed, and not a “method of forming an antenna” as recited in Applicants’ response filed July 17. Applicants disagree with the Examiner and assert that the claims do encompass and cover a “method of forming an antenna.” However, to facilitate prosecution of this application, Applicants’ claims now recite a “method of forming and connecting an antenna” as originally claimed.

2. In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that this application is now in condition for allowance. A notice to this effect is respectfully requested.

Dated: December 9, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

/Michael G. Verga/
Michael G. Verga

Registration No.: 39,410
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP
1875 Eye Street, NW
Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 331-7111
(202) 293-6229 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicants